

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/521,075	Applicant(s) BERTHELETTE ET AL.	
	Examiner Fiona T. Powers	Art Unit 1626	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Fiona T. Powers.

(3) _____.

 (2) Raynard Yuro.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 23 October 2006
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

NONE

Claims discussed:

32

Prior art documents discussed:

NONE

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted Mr. Yuro to inform him that the application would be in condition for allowance if claim 32 were amended by deleting "or other viral infections". The amendment is necessary to avoid a rejection of the claim under 35 U.S.C. 112, 1st paragraph. It was agreed that claim 32 would be amended as described above by examiner's amendment..